
From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2016 12:21 AM
To: Kathy Ruemmler

tried to call

On Sun, Aug 14, 2016 at 6:02 PM, Kathy Ruemmler <kathyruemmler@gmail.com>
<javascript:_e(%7B%7D,'cvml','');> wrote:

Let's discuss this. It is an advocacy piece, not a factual declaration. It won't be effective as currently drafted.
=A0

Sent from my iPad

On Aug 14, 2016, at 9:52 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
<javascript:_e(%7B%7D,'cvml','jeevacation@gmail.com');> wrote:

could i have your views whether you think this type of expositions (ignore typos and grammar) is
useful for a - complaint

=A0 Over the past 10 years, Jeffrey Epstein has been the subject of a repetitive streamthe<ins>
punching bag of tabloid publicity, =A0 improperly released police reports, wildly exaggerated (<ns
datetime="2016-08-14T06:38">and if not contained in court proceedings they would be defamatory) </=pan> litigation
pleadings and vile media stories relating to his payment to young women <=span>, each that came to his home, to
perform erotic massages. females, some of whom unbeknownst to whom later were harm were reported to be
underage, for sexually charged massages Most of whom came naked time and gain. Including calling asking for a time to
return. In a community where<=pan> 50 massage parlors offered the same service=span>. , and where many of the girls
actually worked. However Florida has a statute , (3) IGNORANCE OR BELIEF OF VICTIM'S AGE.—The perpetrator's
ignorance of the victim's age, the victim's misrepresentation of his or her age, or the perpetrator's bona fide
belief of the victim's age cannot be raised as a defense in a prosecution under this section.

that says misrepresentation of age is NOT a defense. Putting the in some cases impossible burden on
a defendant. Being shown drivers licenses from a woman with piercing (18 years old required). who works in a strip
club<ins>, or bar </=pan>(the two plaintiffs currently suing the govt. after taking advantage of the very same
agreement that they now want to overturn , having received hundreds of thousands of dollars as a direct compulsory
term of that very same agreement they now seek to overturn.

Turning local prostitution into the heinous crime of SEX TRAFFICKING was the goal of book.
Sex trafficking gets attention , local prostitution . a state crime , would not have gotten her TV interviews .

From the very beginning, Due to Mr Epstein's wealth and prominence these reports, pleadings and stories have been tabloid fodder rife with exaggeration, omission, and outright falsehoods. Though over a decade has passed With the passage of time, as the story they told, which is and only ever really was a story about a wealthy Palm Beach "John" who frequently solicited local prostitution a legitimate reason for a quite colorful who got caught up in a local scandal and would have quickly for frequently soliciting local prostitution, became old news, had not some financially and politically motivated private individuals, lawyers and journalists have kept the story alive in a financially motivated attempted time and again strategy to breathe the new life into it.. They have fed and continue to feed a public accustomed to tabloid hyperbole with ever more salacious and conspiratorial allegations about alleged sex trafficking, power brokering and political corruption. One of the most egregious examples in book form separate and apart from allegations of underage sex with princes academics biz people world leaders new book, first published on July 6, 2016, irresponsibly assembles the worst of these allegations and fabricates even more inflammatory ones of her own in order to create a false and wildly exaggerated tale, sold as non fiction about Mr. Epstein and the Plaintiffs,. She creates a delusion of their participation in SEX trafficking and other detestable criminal behavior, that bears absolutely no resemblance whatsoever to the in order to sell books . nothing more truth.

A0 So much so that in January 2015, Jean Luc Brunel, a modeling agent, long time friend of Mr Epstein, who is also unfairly and falsely reviled portrayed , without any evidence whatsoever, as a sex trafficker in an abhorrent creation, sued Epstein for failing to publicly renounce these false allegations leveled against Epstein and him, including those perpetuated by her book She makes wild accusations against an industry that legitimately hires young women to model. And through her distorted lens turn every modeling agent into a criminal.

C2 With Epstein's silence and, for obvious reasons, a dearth of individuals willing to risk their own reputations to defend persons and offensive events depicted with ever increasing severity, a vacuum has been created to allow , a shameless and delusional self-promoter, to peddle to a voracious but unsuspecting public an infantil= distortion in the name of human rights. is a frighteningly irresponsible compilation of untested fantastical allegations, unsupportable assumptions, shoddily pieced together circumstantial evidence and paranoid speculation. It is poorly researched, carelessly sourced and alarmingly inaccurate. Though presented in a style that includes fake footnotes in most chapters. Many of which upon researching do not exist.

C2 Pages 94 through 108 of clearly establish that , tells a tale of her having recently been terrified during an investigation in Mexico into true sex trafficking and government corruption, was already primed to accept as given a link between sex trafficking and government corruption. She says she read a few articles in which the lawyers representing a few women prosecuting in civil cases against Epstein where were trying to create public pressure on Epstein. What is clear from her narrative is that from the beginning she assumed the truth of every negative statement asserted against Epstein All the research she conducted thereafter was clearly informed by this pre-conceived and her and completely erroneous notion that Epstein's wealth and power were used to insulate him and his associates from the consequences of a serious criminal activity.

C2 bias has made her recklessly indifferent to the truth in the Epstein case and singularly unwilling to exercise even a modicum of critical analysis or objectivity. She draws her "facts" and conclusions from very obviously biased and unreliable sources without any scrutiny, due diligence or professional journalistic objectivity, choosing to accept without question any and all negative allegations against Mr. Epstein and his associates and to fully discard any and all evidence that demonstrates an absence of credibility of her sources or the implausibility of the information they provide.

C2 Consequently, in TrafficKing, Ms. has published a fabrication riddled with blatant defamation, particularly relating to the Plaintiffs, their relationships with Epstein and their involvement in the matters giving rise to Mr. Epstein's legal problems. In fact, ignored clear warnings about the problems with her book,

conceding at page xii-xiv that "publishers were afraid to print my book for fear of" libel and legal action and that 6 different publishers rejected her manuscript. Acting with full knowledge of her publishers skepticism and warnings and with clear malice in the face of so many voices saying your story is inaccurate, Safranoff should have heeded those warnings as the numerous defamatory statements in [REDACTED] have severely and irreparably damaged the reputations of Plaintiffs, two innocents unfairly caught in its destructive wake.

[REDACTED] [Background]

[REDACTED] [Background]

Factual Background

Investigation by Palm Beach Police Department

As has been reported over and over for the past 10 years. In March 2005, the Palm Beach Police Department opened a criminal investigation of Mr. Epstein when the father and stepmother of [REDACTED] complained to police about a visit by their 14-year old daughter to Mr. Epstein's Palm Beach home. What has not been reported is that Mr. and Mrs. [REDACTED] informed police that they believed inappropriate conduct may have occurred between Mr. Epstein and their daughter. The police interviewed [REDACTED], who had visited Mr. Epstein's home only once, but brought no charges after interviewing her, though monitored activity at Mr. Epstein's home through sporadic surveillance and occasional trash pulls.

There are several reasons that explain this result. [REDACTED] told the Palm Beach Police on more than one occasion that she was instructed by [REDACTED] the woman who brought her to Mr. Epstein's home, that [REDACTED] had to tell Mr. Epstein she was 18 years old because, if she was not 18, he would not let her into his house. [REDACTED] also informed the Police that she did in fact tell Mr. Epstein that she was 18 years old. She also informed police that Mr. Epstein had no sexual contact with her. Mr. Epstein took a lie detector test, the results of which confirmed that [REDACTED] told him she was 18, that he believed [REDACTED] when she told him her age, that he never threatened [REDACTED] in any way and that no sexual contact occurred with [REDACTED]. In addition, [REDACTED], who had previous run-ins with the Police, maintained a "MySpace" page at the time of the investigation on which she stated that she was 18 years old, posted naked and provocative photographs, admitted to having sex, that she was not a virgin, to using drugs, and to having beaten someone up, and to having shoplifted and claimed to earn \$250,000 or more.

In addition, the persons who filed the police report, [REDACTED] 99s father and stepmother, both had criminal records. The father had served 21 months in federal prison for bank fraud, and the stepmother had a state conviction for identity fraud. Moreover, Mr. and Mrs. [REDACTED] true motivation for going to the police later became apparent when they held a press conference on the courthouse steps announcing their civil lawsuit seeking substantial monetary damages from Mr. Epstein. They commenced that lawsuit without authorization from [REDACTED] though she was now an adult, and it was ultimately dismissed. Ms. [REDACTED] did eventually file her own lawsuit in order to profit from these events, despite the fact that she had already confirmed to the police that she lied to Mr. Epstein, specifically telling him that she was 18 years old, and that no sexual contact with him occurred [need to

confirm this from transcripts] on the on= occasion that she went to his house. See March 13= 2005 Transcript of Interview with [REDACTED] at 38:21-39:4, 39:9-18.

◆=A0

*

◆=A0

Q: <=span>At any time, did he speak to you and does know how old you are? Did he know how old you were?

A: =C2◆ . . .As a matter of fact, [REDACTED] told me to say I was 18 because Haley said tell him you're=18 because if you're not, then he won't really let you in his house. So I said I was 18. As I was giving hi= a massage, he's like, how old are you? And then I was like 18. =ut I kind of said it really fast because I didn't want to make it sound like I was lying or anything. (Sworn P=lice Statement of 3/15/05).

--

<=iv dir="ltr"> please note
<=iv>The information contained in this communication is confidential, may=be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and i= intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of J=E
Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or a=y part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have =eceived this communication in error, please notify us immediately by jeevacation@gmail.c=m <javascript:_e(%7B%7D,'cvml' =, [REDACTED]');> , and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, includ=ng all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

◆=A0 please note

The information contained i= this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged,=may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use =f the addressee. It is the property of JEE
Unauthorized use, disclos=re or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly pro=ibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication =n error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to =a href="javascript:_e(%7B%7D,'cvml','jeevacation@gmail.com&#=#9);" target="_blank">jeevacation@gmail.com, and

destroy this communication and all copies thereof,
including all attachments. copyright =all rights reserved

--

=lease note

The information contained in this communication is
c=nfidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may
constitute inside in=ormation, and is intended only for
the use of the addressee. It is the =roperty of
JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this
co=mmunication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited
and may be unlawf=l. If you have received this
communication in error, please notify us i=mediately by
return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:[REDACTED]>, and
destroy th=s communication and all copies thereof,
including all attachments. copy=ight -all rights reserved